

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th St. SW Washington, D.C. 20554

Via Electronic Filing

Re: MM Dkt 99-25 In the Matter of the Creation of a Low Power Radio Service

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Attached please find the signatures of 1,973 people — residents of California — in support of two important components of the Federal Communications Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service.

In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. To best serve the diverse needs of local communities, the FCC should adopt rules and policies that maximize the number of LPFM stations that can go on the air. For example, by allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double.

In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/
Candace Clement
Free Press
(202) 265-1490
Cc:
FCC Chairman Julius Genachowski
FCC Commissioner Mignon Clyburn
FCC Commissioner Robert M. McDowell

Arlen Grossman, Monterey, CA

-Alice Neuhauser, Manhattan Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Thomas Conroy, Manhattan Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Galloway Allbright, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Anthony Jeffery, Chico, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marv Parker, Sebastopol, CA

-Geoffrey M. Stradling, Encino, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Helen Bacon, san rafael, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Wonchalurm Aksomboon, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bret Lyon, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Justin Marin, Los Angeles, CA

—Don Grierson, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Richard Stookey, Orangevale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Stanley Coutant, Sierra Madre, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Edward Yu, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Norman Friedman, Tarzana, CA

—Janet Hoover, Garden Grove, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lowell Schneider, Alameda, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Ana Herold, Pacifica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jim Leonard, Davis, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Donna Schwartz Mills, Granada Hills, CA

-Matt Larson, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Guy Lauten, Escondido, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Hugh Moore, El Cajon, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brian Ardolino, Cardiff by the Sea, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rennae Croisant, Chula Vista, CA

-Bill Brown, Clovis, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ms. Sarah sTEINER, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Natylie Baldwin, Hayward, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ken Knabb, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Gerard L. McFarland, San Pablo, CA

-Pamela Fulmer, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—D Darbys, San Luis Obispo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ron Hansel, West Covina, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jacqueline A. Boucher, La Mesa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Maureen Mehler, Laguna Woods, CA

-Robert Sennett, San Luis Obispo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Caroline Bracco, Redwood City, CA

Please listen!! Home town news and views matter. Local radio helps build and bond neighbors!!! I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kathleen Duey, Fallbrook, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ronald Gies, Pleasant Hill, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Julie Sullwold, Santa Barbara, CA

—Patricia Wilson, Spreckels, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—David Levy, carlsbad, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ronald Warren, Glendale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ricardo Mendez, L. A., CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Yseult Biwer, Woodland Hills, CA

-Emilio Cueto, Northridge, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dan Anderson, Novato, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Tamara Matz, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dale Riehart, san francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dave Cooperberg, San Francisco, CA

-Lara M Lauritz, San Leandro, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Phil Fischer, Alamo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-James Lee Stanley, West Hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Catherine Curtis, Santa Monica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Craig Phillips, San Francisco, CA

—Sean Kennedy, Healdsburg, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mrs & Plotz, corona, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lorca Hanns, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Harlan Lebo, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Alan Nestlinger, Santa Ana, CA

-Roberto O. Lozano, Lakeport, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Ryan, Citrus Heights, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John O'Brien, Tujunga, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gail Johnson, Ukiah, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jessica White, Concord, CA

—Chris MacKrell, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Justin Van Leeuwen, Valley Village, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Anthony S. Wright, Mill Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Keith Cary, Winters, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert Mixon, san diego, CA

-Lourdes Torres-Cobeo, HOLLISTER, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jason Bowman, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Elizabeth Gulick, North Hollywood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gregory Thrush, Scotts Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Eric Brooks, San Francisco, CA

-Keith Otterberg, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—JA Zaitlin, Kensington, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ron Blankenship, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Steve Edmunds, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—James Suthers, Burbank, CA

-Linda J Ost, Bakersfield, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lacey Kammerer, Fresno, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Alyss Dorese, Richmond, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Victor Barbieri, Corte Madera, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rudy Sovinee, Escondido, CA

—Jessica Wolfe, Sebastopol, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Carl Sorem, Livermore, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joanna Welch Lasken, Escondido, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Douglas McKasson, Paradise, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Edric Guise, Long Beach, CA

-Chris Ashton, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jeff Weicher, Santa Monica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Samuel Durkin, Fairfield, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ray Martinez, Covina, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Donald Figge, Fresno, CA

-Marylee McIntyre, Joshua Tree, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Christopher Dare, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Scott Salyer, Goleta, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Juliette Todd, San Jose, CA

We need local programing in our communities so to keep us informed on local events and alerts, so I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ruth Harris, Santa Rosa, CA

-John Micklewright, SAN FRANCISCO, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joshua Lawrence, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Adrienne Lauby, Cotati, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Eddie Starr, Brea, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Carlos A. Nunez, Reseda, CA

-Colin Cromwell, Marina Del Rey, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—J Supar, Eureka, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Donna Clark, Susanville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Alecto Caldwell, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Eddy Robey, Sherman Oaks, CA

—John Bell, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Chris Kelley, oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brian Kelly, Fullerton, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kimberly Garrison, San Francisco, CA

I support two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. Thank you.

-Dixie Coutant, Sierra Madre, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week, and that this minimum amount be a substantial portion of the broadcast day. For a community station to be allowed to hand over the majority of its airtime to nationally syndicated content (probably duplicating other stations in the process) would defeat the whole purpose of community radio. Whether the locally originated content is news, public affairs, or simply a local DJ-wannabe spinning whatever recordings strike his or her fancy is not as important as that it be local. Thank you.

-James H. H. Lampert, Fountain Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John Weaver, Fremont, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Merrill A. Dellas, SANTA ROSA, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Douglas Grimes Ph.D, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jennifer Martinez, San Jose, CA

—Joan Kramer, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—George P. Post, Richmond, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marcia Patt, San Deigo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-William Graham, Lake Forest, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Brendan Rowe, San Luis Obispo, CA

—Andy Walling, Marina, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Elizabeth Adan, Carmichael, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John D, Brentwood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gary Carson, Westminster, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Don Bay, Ostersund`, CA

-Joseph Kelley, Nipomo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Siebrass, Atascadero, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Roger Levin, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Matthew Swyers, Livermore, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Salcido, Pinole, CA

-Richard Doble, SANTA MARIA, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Celia Bush, Placentia, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—James Somers, San Carlos, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kevin Gretsch, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Paul Baresic, Sherman Oaks, CA

-Greg Flotho, West Hollywood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Ramzi Salti, Menlo Park, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dylan Stoker, Woodland Hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Eileen Woodward, Watsonville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Barbara Bogard, Mill Valley, CA

-Warren Gold, Mill Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Timothy J Obrien, Truckee, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Lynne Knowlton, Hemet, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Mac Roseborough, Desert Hot Springs, CA

Please help us regain some diversity in broadcasting. I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jeff Edeker, studio city, CA

-Norman Johnson, Culver City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Casey Holtz, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Len Friedson, Costa Mesa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John Lawler, Magalia, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nancy Peterson, Scotts Valley, CA

—Dan Hitt, palo alto, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dennis Crean Jr., Redwood Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Fillip Caps, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kelan Thomas, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Daphne Kapolka, Salinas, CA

—Gary Meisner, S. San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Caryn Graves, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bill Struven, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gerald Kelly, Santa Monica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—D Smilie, 94070, CA

-Mr. Jack Patterson, Truckee, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dave McDougall, West Hollywood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dave Rawcliffe, Livermore, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Victoria Miller, Encino, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Eric Meyers, canoga park, CA

-Robert Fisher, Encinitas, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-A Donald Cross, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert Greene, Woodland Hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dave Bozzo, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Fran Larson, Pacifica, CA

-Deborah Montero, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lori Rillera, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Alixen Pham, West Hollywood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dominic Barile, SAN FRANCISCO, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Patricia D'Ambrosio, Albany, CA

-Jeff Sanders, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Magesh Jayapandian, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gabriela Duncan, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Salha Mamont, Sherman oaks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Alys Hay, Windsor, CA

-Kevin Tom, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jane Larsen, ENCINITAS, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mark Bauman, SEBASTOPOL, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mildred Gordon, Oceanside, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Creighton Magers, Bakersfield, CA

-Katherine Fligg, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Samuel Jackson, Scotts Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nat Childs, Miranda, CA

Please implement two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. If all stations that will not cause interference can get waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations could double. Please also require new LPFM stations to broadcast locally originated programming each week. No city or town should miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Debbie Notkin, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Spencer Adams, LOS ANGELES, CA

-Rodney Gerber, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brian Sperry, city, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Arlle Warren, Palm Springs, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sarah Hafer, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Persia Woolley, Sebastopol, CA

—Dale Matlock, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Lin, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mark Padayhag, Cameron Park, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Steve Wolff, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jerry Berkman, Berkeley, CA

—Tim Taylor, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Robert Reed, Lake Elsinore, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Evan Beattie, Irvine, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jennifer Pardini, Fremont, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Stephen Johnson, San Diego, CA

—Scott Jenkins, San Luis Obispo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Steve Rosin, pasadena, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Carol Banever, los angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brett Parmenter, Riverside, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dena McKitrick, Sacramento, CA

-Tara Bradford, Pacific Grove, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joyce Banzhaf, Grass Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Josephine Hamilton, Windsor, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Helen Hanna, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Diane Olson, santa monica, CA

-Randy Stein, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Raphael Sperry, san Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nick Podue, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Alan Sanders, Fairfax, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jane Velasquez, Santa Cruz, CA

-Ron Russell, Pacific Grove, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Aia White-Podue, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Charles Shattuck, Roseville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Len Carella, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Celeste Margaret Anacker, Santa Barbara, CA

-Alan Cumings, Fairfax, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Susan A Glazebrook, Ojai, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kathleen Y., Stevenson Ranch, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Glenn Pope, Santa Rosa, CA

I am writing to express my STRONG SUPPORT for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that WILL NOT CAUSE INTERFERENCE to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC SHOULD REQUIRE new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mark Feldman, Santa Rosa, CA

-Steve Gould, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—George Martz, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Darrell Hunter, Richmond, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Raymond Shaw, San Jacinto, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert Poulsen, Santa Cruz, CA

-Vic and Barby Ulmer, Saratoga, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Vicki Berkofsky, santa monica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Inger Acking, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John Kohler, Agoura Hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Adam Strom, South San Francisco, CA

-Leona Terry, city, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—David Lee, Chico, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Hedi Saraf, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Roberta E. E. Newman, Mill Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ken Burke, Oakland, CA

-Colin Davis, Davis, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Briana Rose, MILL VALLEY, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Chris Kendell, Pioneer, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ms. Sue Andres, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Paul P. Tung, Rancho Palos Verdes, CA

—John Little, Stockton, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Daniel Linder, Emeryville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Joan Zawaski, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Julie Goldberg, los angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Abigale Wool, long beach, CA

-Mark Zadarnowski, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Taylor Teegarden, Martinez, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Elaine Lee, Elk Grove, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jon Cox, Mountain View, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Carl B. Page, sf, CA

-Jeff and Karen Hay, Soquel, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dale Weide, Napa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Liz Cohen, Los Angeles, CA

We are writing to express our support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dudley and Candace Campbell, Valley Glen, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kristofer Raikes, Santa Cruz, CA

-Steve & Sharon Binder, oxnard, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. Real local radio programming is getting harder and harder to find. Low Power FM is the solution to the "extinction" of local programming, giving community members a chance to have a real voice on local airwaves. I've heard local radio in the S.F. Bay Area and it's a breath of fresh air, invigorating an increasingly homogenized medium. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nils Ohlson, Alameda, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Arline Mathews, Chatsworth, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Eli Carrico, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Karl M. Levin, Canoga Park,, CA

—John Gasperoni Ph.D., berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mark Zimoski, Valley Glen, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-William E. Woodcock Berkeley, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-George Berreman, ventura, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Tom Boyce, Thousand Oaks, CA

-Magaly Fernandez, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jeff Barrows, Orange, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Seth Carr, signal hill, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Glenn Barry, Simi Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Larry Needleman, Sebastopol, CA

—Joyce Kidd, Beverly Hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Charles Currier, Poway, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert McCombs, Arcata, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Christopher M. Smith, SAN DIEGO, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Donna Anderson, Westchester, CA

-Chris Coco, SOUTH GATE, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Welda Graybeal, Mtn. View, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dorothy Lee Lull, Corte Madera, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Deirdre Brownell, burbank, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John Terry, Eureka, CA

-Miriam Hochberg, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Mark Lowe, berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Stuart Rosenthal, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jeff Kline, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Casey Dake, Thousand Oaks, CA

-Barb Lincoln, Walnut Creek, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—SYLVIA Selverson, SAN DIEGO, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert and Barbara Trumbull, Montague, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Howard J Cohen Ph.D., Palo Alto, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Shauna Smith, grass Valley, CA

-Roxanna Galvan, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dave Bogart, Menlo Park, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Tristan Donofrio, Mountain View, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John Wilkins, Fresno, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Carey Hauser, n. hollywood, CA

-Michael Gallup, Chino, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Elkins, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Aaron Tyler Scott, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Daryl MacLaren, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Daniel Watters, Redwood Cite, CA

-Oscar Cabrera, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Daniels, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

---Victoria Shorr, Coto de Caza, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kirk McGregor, Davis, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Elizabeth Penn, Redwood City, CA

-Willard Bohn, Kensington, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Linda McDonald, Ukiah, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Zagone, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Alan Castner, Emeryville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mr. James Higgins, Burbank, CA

-Jan Tache, Penn Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bruce Martin, San Fransisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jana Lane, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Margaret Hollenbach, Mt. Shasta, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Benjamin Hendricks, Sherman Oaks, CA

-Wayne Gibb, Forestville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Thomas Daniell, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Lyla Fadali, La Jolla, CA

I am writing to express my support for three important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. First, no LPFM station should interfere with any other LPFM station, including NPR repeaters or translaters where they are necessary to relay the NPR signals. These NPR repeaters or translaters already meet the FCC requirements for the coverage of local news and events. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-George Dibelka, Weed, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bruce Burness, Pasadena, CA

-Mark Laub, city, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Barbara Mendenhall, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kevin Branstetter, Applegate, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mary Warner, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dolores Arond, Northridge, CA

-Raul Freitas, Novato, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Helmut Kayan, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Alberta Lesko, Greenbrae, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marshal McKitrick, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Ian Turner, Sacramento, CA

-Loren Madsen, Laytonville, CA

I am writing to express my strongest possible support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air in locales all across the country. Simply by allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could be permitted to more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Nothing is more vital than variety of choice in a presently constricted and unAmerican marketplace. Unless on radio it is the independent production of non-fiction by responsible thinking individual minds--not repetitions of canned national corporate product, no matter how antiseptic it is made to seem. Thank you.

-Robert Cerello, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert Hines, Redwood City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Martin Horwitz, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mark Bowers, Sacramento, CA

-Heidi Petersen, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Charlotte Pirch, Fountain Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ken Rose, Fallbrook, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lucy Sells, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Derald Myers, Santa Cruz, CA

-Susan Hathaway, Pico Rivera, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Richard Kontas, burbank, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Diana Aston, Los Altos, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Victor Carmichael, Pacifica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Randall Richardson, Alameda, CA

-Mitch McFarland, Point Arena, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jon Anderholm, Cazadero, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ron Beldon, santa rosa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Matt and Stacy McMillan, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Nancy K. Edmonson, San Francisco, CA

-Donald A Gladstone, Los Altos, CA

The consolidation of communications both radio and TV is frighteningly dangerous. A transparent and diverse communications system ought to be your goal. Momopoly/oligopolys are bad and UNDEMOCRATIC. Jon W Candy MD Col USAF ret

—Jon W Candy MD, Loomis, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Doyle Barnett, Goleta, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Richard Hardack, berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Lesley Lathrop, SANTA CRUZ, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Julie Quinn, torrance, CA

-Jeannette Ralston, Half Moon Bay, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Glen Ihrig, Burbank, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mrs. Catherine Stiles, Lancaster, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Margie Engel, Studio City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Catherine George, Napa, CA

-Elinor Susan Vega, Hesperia, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Ross, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Susan Davenport, Simi Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Armando Camacho, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Eileen Siedman, Mill Valley, CA

-Donna Brinton, Redwood City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Lionel abram Lints, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Vasu Murti, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Froukje Brouwer, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael A. Rubin, Fairfax, CA

-Holly Brown, Sherman Oaks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Brice Beckham, West Hollywood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Melvin Taylor, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Joe S. Dobrowolski, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John Cronin, Garden Grove, CA

-Kelly Hairgrove, Santee, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Ward Bliley, Claremont, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marylucia Arace, Fullerton, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Thomas Yelda, San Luis Obispo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Alex Ramsay, p, CA

—Gary J Weinstein, North Hollywood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Michael Frankel, Agoura Hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Samantha Garcia, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Linda Church, Redondo Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-K Siwek, Santa Ana, CA

-Randall Esperas, Cupertinoc, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels

— it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Constantine Bogios, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert Cadman, San Diego, CA

Please emphasize two components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service - many more local stations with local employees, and many hours of local broadcasting. Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. The FCC should also require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that every city and town has this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nancy Goebner, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Don Erway, South Pasadena, CA

—Andy Tomsky, san marcos, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mark Orlando, Hesperia, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bari Winter, Reseda, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—James Clement, Covina, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Russell Strom, San Diego, CA

—Ann Thryft, Boulder Creek, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Elizabeth Bell, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Mark Spevak, city, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Julie Heath Elliott, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Callie C. Riley, Citrus Heights, CA

-Al Macina, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Charles Svoboda, Summerland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Carol Yates, Half Moon Bay, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Suzanne Levin, Santa Clara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Edward Callahan, Santa Monica, CA

-Mark Morey, Goleta, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kurt Fillmore, Petaluma, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Wayne Tennille, San Dimas, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mitch Dalition, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Suzan Clausen, San Diego, CA

—Jay Edgerton, Rancho Palos Verdes, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lynn Weeks, Ventura, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Harvey Buchbinder, beverly hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Andy Graybeal, Mountain View, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John Mabey, san jose, CA

-April Ewaskey, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Greg Downing, VENICE, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ilene Moir, Carlsbad, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Beth Muir, Manhattan Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Greg Weiss, Sylmar, CA

-Leah Herzberg, ENCINO, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Janet McCalister, Paradise, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Miss Catherine Lee, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. This is your chance to correct your past stance of giving our radio and tv to monopolies. With only a handful of owners, our news is no longer news, we hear the same propaganda everywhere and our citizenry is no longer informed with facts. You have failed us and now it is time to act. Thank you.

—Lee Smith, CA Hot Springs, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Vilma White, Temecula, CA

-Iris Chynoweth, 95345, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ken Windrum, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Pamela Scott, Boulder Creek, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Steven Sicular, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Todd Davis, Oakland, CA

-Russell Hagan, Napa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Elizabeth Thraen, Altadena, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dr William Martin Wallin, Richmond, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Elizabeth Wharton, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jim Phillips, los banos, CA

-Shauna Haines, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jason Bidwell, los angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Barry Brenner, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Joan Mortenson, Huntington Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Staci Evans, Sacramento, CA

—Gabriel Sheets, city, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Paul Hine, Portola Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jeri Pollock-Leite, ALTADENA, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Shelley Alonso, Vallejo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Kevin Klapp, Corona del Mar, CA

—Tia Triplett, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Richard McGonagle, Burbank, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sally Abrams, san francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Hilary Bryan, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Stephani McCabe, la jolla, CA

-Adene Katzenmeyer, weed, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Ronald Bogin, El Cerrito, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Wendy Wittl, santa barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-PD Minn, northridge, CA

I support several components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. Thank you.

-Gary Lee, Palm Springs, CA

-Michael Thomas, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Phillip Cripps, Cathedral City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Amanda Dowd, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. 1 - Increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. 2 - Require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to help get real local radio on the air in communities across America. Thank you.

-Ralph McDaniel, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gregg Sparkman, Oakland, CA

—Jeffrey Smith, Lafayette, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jenine Davison, Lockwood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marika Stephanos, Bayside, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Ann Bauer, Mendocino, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Lelia Bogard, coarsegold, CA

—John Weinstein, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Francis Wilson, Acton, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Virginia Harris, Sebastopol, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gina O'Feral, Albion, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Matthew R. Patt Clark & Sheldon, El Granada,, CA

—John Walton, santa rosa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Bruce Sims, Escondido, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kevin M. Cox, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jean Jackman, Davis, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Georgia Kahn, Novato, CA

-Nancy Sharmer, Fresno, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Patricia Murrin, san diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-R Marti, Altadena, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Amber L. Tidwell, los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Katherine Roberts, San Francisco, CA

—William J Catherwood, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. The FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Thais Thomas, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Warren Spicer, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Erif Thunen, Albion, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Arlene Baker, Berkeley, CA

-Petra Serafim, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sharon Green, la puente, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Thomas Wendel, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Susaan Aram, Laguna Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mr. jim hite, richmond, CA

-Howard Eisenberg, San Mateo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Carole Martinson, Sonoma, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Tasker, Carson, CA

I am writing to express my support for two components of the NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. The FCC should do what it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. And most importantly, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. Many people rely on radio for a major source of news and entertainment. People with a limited amount of English or with disabilities fall into this group and they would greatly benefit from more local news. But all communities would benefit from a better informed public. Thank you.

—K Ash, TUJUNGA, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Liz Amsden, Los Angeles, CA

-Ivan Sag, Menlo Park, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Cornell Fenderson, Lemon Grove, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Barbara Macdonald, Woodacre, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dan Mages, Riverside, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Matthew Quellas, Los Angeles, CA

-William E Spicer, Fremont, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Craig Parker Adams, los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Tiago Galletti, Walnut Creek, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nancy Grossi, Van Nuys, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mr. Pierre Pfeffer, San Diego, CA

-Nina Bouska, Hidden Valley Lake, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Geraldine Reskof, Palm Springs, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Janice Austin, Temecula, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Candelario Lopez, North Hollywood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lisa Wright, San Francisco, CA

-Gordon Gerbitz, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kimberly Sickel, Laguna Niguel, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Heather Reynolds, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rogers Turrentine, Oceanside, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marie McDonald, Walnut Creek, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can

license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated

- programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community and with many more applicants than available channels it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.
- —Woody Griggs, San Pedro, CA

-patricia Jackson, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Thomas Matthews, Soquel, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rachel M hervey PHN, Santa Margarita, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jeffrey N. McMahan, Los Angeles, CA

-Colin G. Gallagher, Marina, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Brackney, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Chris Grundstrom, SAN FRANCISCO, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Craig Spredeman, West Hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Wayne Steffes, Redding, CA

-Sharon Rose, Grass Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kathleen M. O'Neil, La Mesa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. KGGV is the LPFM station in my community and it is an integral part of the life of the community. From local news, weather alerts and public service shows to cultural activities in the area it serves a divers population. It is powered by the people it serves. Surely the more stations like this across the nation, the better informed, and connected will our citizens be! In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Noel Yates, Guerneville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Alison Denning, Mt Baldy, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Julie Gilbert, Redwood City, CA

-Michael Rabbino, san mateo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Carla Davis, CORTE MADERA, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sherri Whittenburg, Antioch, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Daniel Tiarks, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-R St. Angelo, Cloverdale, CA

-Aaron Rogers, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Richard Tonsing, Fair Oaks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Roger Vortman, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Carter, carson, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Lynne York, Vallejo, CA

-Kristina Fukuda-Schmid, Culver City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joel Levine, Ukiah, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nolan Turner, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sheila Smith, SALINAS, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Cherie Connick, Crescent City, CA

-Mark Gallegos, L.A., CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Higgins, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Frances Goff, Valley Village, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brian Boortz, Los Gatos, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Barry Schwartz, Los Angeles, CA

—Cari Chenkin, Citrus Heights, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—N Lowry, l.a., CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Lee Dragu, Calabasas, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Benson Russell, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Patrick Williams, Sunnyvale, CA

-Howard Hendrix, Shaver Lake, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-S Thompson, los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Vincent Patti, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Art Godinez, chino hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Thomas Dannecker, Los Angeles, CA

-Bettie Auble, Citrus Heights, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joseph Pallazola, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Josephine Pierotti, Danville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bruce Embrey, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brittany Kay, Beverly Hills, CA

-Marc Warshaw, Capitola, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Louis Stephens, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ethel M Williams, Oceanside, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Marcia Frendel, BERKELEY, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Melanie Abea, San Jose, CA

-Robert Krikourian, El Dorado Hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dan Auerbach, Thousand Oaks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Don Swall, Eureka, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bruce Cunningham, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michelle Maani, Nipomo, CA

-- Urvashi Nagrani, Los Altos, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Ronald Canfield, Alameda, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Tim Kranyak, Concord, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mark Deakins, Oceanside, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dave Murphy, Big Bear Lake, CA

-Robert Slavik, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michal Bouilly, Auburn, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—E Perkins, Talmage, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Larry Fishman, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lucienne O'Keefe, Greenbrae, CA

-William Gaieck, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Donna Sams, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Eileen Mitro, Ukiah, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Margaret Cole, Santa Clara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. WHAT HAPPENED TO THE FCC WHEN IT ALLOWED SO FEW INVESTERS TO OWN THE MAJORITY OF THE RADIO STATIONS? DID YOU KNOW THAT THEIR INTENT WAS TO BROADCAST ONLY ONE POLITICAL MESSAGE THAT ALSO INCLUDED HATE, RACISM, LIES, AND DISTORTIONS WITHOUT ALLOWING DIFFERENT POINTS OF VIEW? YOU WOULD BETTER SERVE AMERICANS IF YOU INVOKED THE FORGOTTEN LEGISLATION OF BALANCED POLITICAL COVERAGE AND NO MERGING COMPANIES. Thank you.

-George Loveday, GRASS VALLEY, CA

-Ron W. Dawson, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Rodger Reed, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Morinello Sr, Harbor City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Richard Patenaude, Hayward, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Charles Binckley, Richmond, CA

-Mr. Jack Parker, San Luis Obispo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Diane Moore, Davis, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rebecca Finley, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Manu Martinez, San Juan Bautista, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—J Benevento, gilroy, CA

-John Sutton, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Samauel R. Swift, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Melissa Miller, concord, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-R David Finks, Forestville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—David Gardner, Santa Monica, CA

—Sara West, San Luis Obispo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kl Ellis, PS, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Russell Lombardo, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Roger Davis, Whittier, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Carol Rocha, Martinez, CA

-Ernest A. Canning, Thousand Oaks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Clayton S. Chan, Irvine, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Edwin McCready, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Daniel Saadia, Laguna Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Malcolm Calder, San Francisco, CA

-Lorraine Kline, Mira Loma, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Romola Georgia, Palo Alto, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lee Eames, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marion Stover, Clearlake, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mary Novasic, San Francisco, CA

—Loy Zimmerman, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Twik Simms, Anaheim, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Margaret Mew, Lafayette, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Eric Bayon, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Genevieve Fujimoto, San Francisco, CA

—Bruce L. Varga, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Julie Svendsen, burbank, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jason D. Pineda, Salinas, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Victor Vuyas, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John Hagler, Laguna Beach, CA

-Steve Morris, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Randy Dickau, Arcata, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Craig Cook, Santa Rosa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Osterhoudt, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Laura Overmann, Burlingame, CA

-Val Sanfilippo, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert Parker Stellato, REDWOOD CITY, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bonnie Gillooly, Carmel by the Sea, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dale McCart, Irvone, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Viktoria Norberg, Redlands, CA

-Stephen rosenblum, Palo Alto, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John Gaspar, Lancaster, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brion Levitsky, Placerville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Yuka Persico, Simi Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Lee Ramdhani, SAN CLEMENTE, CA

-Laura Leipzig, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Anne Waybur, Mill Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. For instance, I cannot get KDFC (a very popular San Francisco Bay Area classical-music station) since they changed ownership more than a year ago and were forced to start broadcasting on a different frequency. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of LOCALLY ORIGINATED programming each week, not purchased, canned programs. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Susan D. Martin, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gustavo Sandoval, San Mateo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kevin O'Connor, Davis, CA

-Robert Edwards, Fair Oaks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brian Baltin, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-martha Schwartz, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rosemarie Lion, Petaluma, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Sylvia Meridian, Berkeley, CA

-Ronald Calvisi, Toluca Lake, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Susan Rowe, Coarsegold, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rena Lewis, Ojai, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Guy Cargulia, san diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jim Lansing, San Francisco, CA

-Dwain Haines, Shingle Springs, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Kirstin Summers, San Pedro, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jane Merkel, Eureka, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Barbara J. McVein, Vista, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Partsch, Dublin, CA

—Frans Roosen sr, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Theodore Radamaker, Claremont, CA

I want you to support two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Matt Maguire, Petaluma, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Stephen Bogoff, Mill Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Margaret Toews, Menlo Park, CA

-Pamela Kafton, Sherman Oaks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marilyn D Levine, mountain view, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Barbara Bibel, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Cheryl Elkins, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Terry Strauss, Mill Valley, CA

-Ken Greenwald, Santa Monica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joy Hurst, Newark, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Charles Fox, Santa Monica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Calvin Huang, Upland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Terry Laug, Hermosa Beach, CA

-Rita A Hanson, Rosamond, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dell Schilleci, Valencia, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ralph Durham, Sunnyvale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-M J Gillock, Fort Bragg, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Alan Moore, Sunnyvale, CA

—Dalia Zatkin, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Bo Svensson, Santa Rosa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Luis Gutierrez, Visalia, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. The Federal Communications Commission could change my life by broadening my access to local programs relevance to my life. I am sick to death of the corporate script and non-news I hear everywhere. It gets worse every day. The FCC has the power to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. People have already lost so much access. Please return some of the airwaves to us. The FCC would be doing a tremendous service by requiring new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. For some people radio is their only access to community news, which is so much more important than the homogenized "stories" we are fed over and over now. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. No more canned "news." It is killing America! Please allow more stations to apply for waivers and open the airwaves. Please require local content be part of all licenses. Thank you.

-Barrie Stebbings, Stinson Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John Thomas, Victorville, CA

—Jackie Hall, Encinitas, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Sheedy Dehdashti, del mar, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Thomas, Kensington, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Patrick Liner, SAN FRANCISCO, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Zonn Moore, Escondido, CA

-Evalyn Segal, Walnut Creek, CA

Community radio is an important component of local democracy and should be encouraged as much as possible, especially since commercial broadcasting becomes more concentrated and homogeneous. Therefore I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week and prioritize increasing the diversity of programming. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rosalind Bresnahan Ph.D., San Bernardino, CA

I am writing to express my strong support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Richard Brabham, Richmond, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dara Engel, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert G. Leemon, Newhall, CA

—Paul Ripley, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Marvin Kaphan, Pacific Palisades, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Roger Robles, Petaluma, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kim McCormick, Rancho Cucamonga, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nathan Hills, Oakland, CA

-Mr. Rich Clark, Rio Dell, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jonathan Ganz, Orangevale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Anne Pieper, Concord, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John Brophy, vista, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Laurence Hotchkiss, Riverside, CA

—Jean Fleming, Studio City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John A Klett, Santa barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-April Falls, San diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Greg Teetsell, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Don Gerstman, lafayette, CA

—Stephen Gardner, Richmond, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Cathy Keller, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jamienne Andris, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Steven Leach, Grass Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nadya Tichman, Oakland, CA

-Derek Wilson, Sebastopol, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sheryl Kaplan, Pasadena, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ann Mahoney, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Patricia Greenough, San Anselmo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jose Ricardo G. Bondoc, San Francisco, CA

—David Heymann, Mountain View, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Therese Ryan, Palmdale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Edward Suchecki, Culver City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—without prejudice USC 1-207 Monroe edwin jeffrey, Perris, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Deborah Garfinkle, san francico, CA

—Bret Polish, los angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Corinne Van Houten, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jose Pantoja, Campbell, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Wendy Beck, san Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Keith Morris, Los Angeles, CA

-MC Hagerty, Carlsbad, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Karen Kirschling, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Steve Salo, McKinleyville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dianne Earick, Fullerton, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joan Ripple, Novato, CA

-Roberta M Martin, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jayna Williams, Pomona, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Cheryl Hicks, Sherman OAks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Barry Saltzman, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Suzan Syrett, Menlo Park, CA

-Nick Tamburri, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels

— it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Sharon Laabs, La Jolla, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Lane Yoshiyama, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Caroline GeermanVanGelder, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Richard Devletian, Los Angeles, CA

-Mark Reback, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Steve Merrick, Playa Del Rey, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Constance Youens, Murrieta, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert Miller, Laguna Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dee Purti, Los Angeles, CA

-Marc Groah, Healdsburg, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Darynne Jessler, valley village, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Claudia Mackey, Stockton, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Siegel Shelley, santa Monica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Lynne Preston, San Francisco, CA

-Judith Poxon, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Christine Tobey, Los Angeles, CA

Please consider the great citizens of this country and not just the corporations! I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Leah McKin, Capitola, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Aimee Wyatt, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Barbara Cohn, Carlsbad, CA

-Bryan Cahill, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Christopher Yeung, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mark Edgren, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Seth Laursen, LA, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Linda Bodian, Fairfax, CA

-Martin Ansell, WEST HOLLYWOOD, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sean Devaney, Cupertino, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sherrill Futrell, Davis, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bob Russell, Pleasant Hill, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert Eugene Griffin, NORTH HOLLYWOOD, CA

-Rachel Sloan, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Sarah Scher MD, Arcata, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Barbara King, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Alan McCann-Sayles, McKinleyville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Anton Fleig, Ben Lomond, CA

-Amit Shoham, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-wendell Hovey, Merced, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Barbara Dittmann, San Rafael, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Suzanne Cook, McKinleyville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Natalie Rosen, Burbank, CA

-John Carosella, Los Altos, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

--Olive Liechty-DePonte, San Rafael, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Fountain, Newport Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Joanne Bateni, Lakeport, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Sheila Willens, los angeles, CA

-Kathleen Schuh, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Stanley Peterson, Los Banos, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Matthew Palmer, city, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Arlene Fisher, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Helena Liber, Oakland, CA

—Anne Swanson, Campbell, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joe Glaston, Desert Hot Springs, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Craig Antrim, San Pedro, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Miriam Strysik, Glendale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marcia Lovelace, Oakland, CA

-Michael Tomczyszyn, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Ken Cooper, Walnut Creek, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Vic Selten, Palm Springs, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Peha, Torrance, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jack Sahouria, Suisun city, CA

-Larry Blood, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Alice Forsythe, santa barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mark McCormick, San Francisci, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mikel Jackson, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Johanna Kim, Riverside, CA

-Antonio Martinez, bonita, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Erik Schnabel, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lee Frank, Sherman Oaks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Laura Selken, Santa Maria, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Phaedra Kossow-Quinn, Arcata, CA

-Ian Wright, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jason Varvas, Dove Canyon, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sheryl Iversen, Murrieta, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Stacie Charlebois, SANTA ROSA, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Kathleen Rippey, Willits, CA

-Alex Garcia, Fontana, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mary Stark, pasadena, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Judy Nakadegawa, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Patrick Lewis, Emeryville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mike Caetano, Fresno, CA

-Nancy Black, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels

— it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Sue Holman, Cardiff by the Sea, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Donna Pedroza, Alameda, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dale Foster, Temecula, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Edmund Wright, Trinidad, CA

-Bruce K Pentz, McKinleyville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Charles N, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Beverly Tangen, valley village, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Elizabeth Guise, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Shellee Davis, Cotati, CA

—S Pena, Moorpark, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-George M. Lewis, Los Osos, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rhonda Jessee, Glendale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John Kirk, Modesto, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John R Donaldson, Fresno, CA

—Mha Atma S Khalsa, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mischa Kandinsky, Bonny Doon, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Gubernick, Carmel Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bill Tkach, Paso Robles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ron Zucker, San Mateo, CA

-Bill Mikulak, Sherman Oaks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dona van Bloemen, Santa Monica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jeramy DeCristo, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Patricia Purcell, Paradise, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Steven Stewart, Santa Cruz, CA

-Cynthia Burkey, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—MaryAnn Peters, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Tim Thomas, Cool, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bonnie Margay Burke, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jonathan Hall, Tehachapi CA, CA

—Jim Carlstedt, Santa Monica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Amanda Rosenberg, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Patricia Zylius, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Matthew Howard, Cotati, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dale King, San Jose, CA

-Robyn Bates, Fresno, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert Lieberman, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Agustin Jaime Lara, los angeles, CA

I am writing to express my full support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. With the demand for stations far exceeding the number of available frequencies in many communities, the Federal Communications Commission should increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. Furthermore, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-James Hubbard, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Tung Ton, San Diego, CA

-Bill Swisher, Valley Center, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Rick Robins, Grass Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dianne PunKay, La Puente, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kelly Kolis, Vancouver, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Eric Wedel, Mountain View, CA

-Louis Burklow, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Lisa Patton, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sheila Wyse, sherman oaks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-J Covey, Carmichael, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Hunter Wallof, Point Reves Station, CA

-Alan Haggard, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Lisa Moeller, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission can more than double the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country by allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel. With only so many licenses available in each community no city or town need miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. And the FCC could require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. We will benefit from this safety in numbers. Thank you.

-John T. Denton, Encinitas, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marianne Olguin, Hayward, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Wallace Iimura, Cupertino, CA

-Sadie McFarlane, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Angus Perkerson, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kevin Rouff, Stanford, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ruth Ungar, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Tracey levy, los angeles, CA

-Geraldine May, Santa Margarita, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nick Altieri, Tehachapi, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Oskar Toruno, Bell Gardens, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Pamela Gross, Santa Maria, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Ann Rudd, Carmel Valley, CA

-Eric Reyes, Pacific Palisades, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Warren McFarland, San Pablo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nicholas Lenchner, santa rosa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kent Minault, Sherman Oaks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jeffrey Hurwitz, San Francisco, CA

-Barbara Viken, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Chris Lopes, Alameda, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sheila Silverman, Los Angelos, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kevin Krywko, San Marcos, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joan Parrish, Santa Cruz, CA

-C Price, Palo Alto, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Karen Thomas, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lauren Murdock, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Seren Bradshaw, Forest Ranch, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Howard Davidson, San Carlos, CA

-Leslee Cotlow, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Thiermann, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Stephen Eklund, Salinas, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marinda Hargrove, torrance, CA

"The Greatest Threat to Democracy is Hypocrisy! Seek Truth! Speak Truth! "Tim McMullen I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. Approving more diversified LPFM stations and requiring a significant percentage of each day to be local programming would be a strong step in the right direction. Perhaps the most disastrous and destructive policies to which we can attribute the collapse of the financial and housing markets, of our social infrastructure, of our education system, of our political process and political discourse were the consolidation and deregulation of media in America, Corporations always had plenty of control over the marketplace of ideas, but at least competition existed, in large part due to the regulations limiting media ownership as well as the fairness doctrine. Now, eight or ten (fewer, really) giant corporations own nearly all of our radio and TV airwaves as well as our print publications. They have turned mandated, scrupulous and informative reporting into infotainment and self-serving corporate propaganda which obfuscates and drowns real news and in-depth reporting with salacious celebrity gossip and "he said/he said," dueling, non-fact checked sound bites. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Tim McMullen, Rowland Heights, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Trevitt Schultz, Pt. Reyes Station, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ryan Yurcich, Laguna Hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-James Sherrel, Fair Oaks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. First, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. Second, the FCC should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. We need as much local coverage via radio as possible.

-Rebecca Smith Randolph, Escondido, CA

-Mr. Paul Kauppila, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Casey Knoblock, Vista, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—A Bonvouloir, Sunnyvale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Carolyn Lilly, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Patricia Savage, Mammoth Lakes, CA

-Jerilynne Nibbe, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Isaac Motola, Pasadena, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Glenn H. Martin, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Paul Bechtel, Redlands, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ronald Maxson, l.a., CA

-Judd Williams, Mill Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Margaret Copi, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Gabriel Grilli, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Stan Fitzgerald, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marie Giese, Los Angeles, CA

-Charles Wolfe, Sylmar, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Anita Stapen, Piedmont, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kevin Steen, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John Reisz, Paso Robles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Melinda McBride, Topanga, CA

—Joe Diaz, Bellflower, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Terry Ann Smulen, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Local radio means so much to me and it is what I amost exclusively listen to. Thank you.

-Roger Pritchard, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Reynold Alberti, Temecula, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ron Cleave, Petrolia, CA

—George Steinitz, Campo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Tim Guisinger, Camarillo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joseph Shulman, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Diana Dee, North Hollywood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Celia Nunez, Rocklin, CA

-Janet Maker, los angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ruth Rippner, Chico, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—S Shore, Burbank, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marcia Gaston, Culver City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jane Edwards, La Palma, CA

—Phillip Walker, Santa Rosa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Judy Ann Alberti, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—DDS Lawrence Lipkind, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-M J Shepley, Sac, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rita McCue, San Francisco, CA

-Barbara Thibodeau, Pacifica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Madelaine Matej, Mill Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John Grula, Pasadena, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Louis Priven, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-R Muir, San Francisco, CA

—Dennis Oliver, Kelseyville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Richard Wixen, Quartz Hill, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Eric Lynes, San Bernardino, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mrs. Susanne Ellis, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nancy Gutierrez, PALM DESERT, CA

—Tony Chapman, Camarillo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lorena Wolfe, Rialto, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Douglas Silvera, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—James Hicks, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Peter Flanagan, Rialto, CA

—Larry Bailey, Redding, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Stacey Smith-Clark, Log Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marilyn Hansen, Santa Rosa, CA

I support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. The FCC should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. The FCC should also require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With a limited number of licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that every city and town should have local radio. Thank you.

-Pat Marriott, Los Altos, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bob Westal, Anaheim, CA

-Annette Lys, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Gilbert Eidam, sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-K Krupinski, LA, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Rotcher, Mission Viejo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-JP Cohen, Alameda, CA

—C Nolte, Poway, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Susan R. Bryan, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Steve Wendt, Chico, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rudy Zeller, berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mi Perona, Cupertino, CA

-Glenn Ross, Eureka, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Charity B Gourley, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John Peha, Ventura, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Stephanie D Jackel, Vista, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Herb Gartsman, Canyon Country, CA

-Barry Stelling, Sonoma, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-William Vestal, Petaluma, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Diane Roth, Fresno, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Christina Metcalfe, oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Alice Polesky, San Francisco, CA

-Vincent Salinas, San Ramon, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Matthew Lindner, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Barbara Luoma, Concord, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Joel Fithian, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Vincent Ruiz Bouvet, Ventura, CA

—DeeDee Lionhardt, Burlingame, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dirk Hoekstra, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Signor, Fullerton, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Alan Myerson, Culver City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John Clemens, Topanga, CA

-John Holtzclaw, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John Kottage, Novato, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-ben Carpenter, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—David Carp, Desert Hot Springs, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Tony Chavez, North Hollywood, CA

-John P. Choice, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels

— it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—James Columbia, Bakersfield, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Steve Roddy, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Elaine de Wet, Claremont, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Roderick Brown, San Diego, CA

-Roz Rickman, Castro Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Georgia Tattu, Hermosa Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Iain MacAdam, Cambria, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brad Parker, Encino, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jorge Moreno, Menlo Park, CA

—Dana Ginn, Temecula, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Walter Kleine, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Steve Jensen, Hermosa Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Adolfo Mendia, Glendale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Ranger, London Ont. Canada, N5y4k5, CA

-Heng Liu, San Ramon, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Matias Godinez, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mushtaq Syed, santa clara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gloria Donohue, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Adam D. Sperry, North hollywood, CA

-Ellen Gerardis, Goleta, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Fran Nease, Concord, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Leslie Rabb, los angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brandon Charles Flickinger, Stanton, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jo Ann Herr, Oakland, CA

-Zorine Rinaldi, Santa Monica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dylan Oldenburg, Pacific Palisades, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jeff Thayer, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Judy Schriebman, San Rafael, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Tom Alden, chico, CA

—Diane Berliner, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marco McClean, Mendocino, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bernadine deckard, Vallejo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John DeYoung, Brentwood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Keith Hanson, Seal Beach, CA

-Norrie Robbins, La Mesa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Beth Sweetwater, Santa Maria, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Grant Levy, Costa Mesa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jerry G Beckmann, Clearlake Oaks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Wendy Oser, Berkeley, CA

-Blake Wu, san leandro, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mr. ben Trainer, carmel valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Margarita Haugaard, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Therese LePage, Newbury Pk, CA

I am a strong supporter of local media, and local radio in particular. I support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sara Schwartz Kendall, Coloma, CA

—Steven Hibshman, Foster City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Christopher Davidson, H.B., CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Armando Aspiras, Fremont, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nathan Vogel, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jan Kravitz, Cotati, CA

-Ryan Rillera, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jim Gray, Hemet, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Millie Gibbons, Chula Vista, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Margarita Ayala, Chico, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Antoinette Calavas, Mendocino, CA

-David Childs, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Carrie Weil, Santa Monica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Susan Trivisonno, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Samuel Krantz, Castro Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Timothy Lawnicki, Lakewood, CA

—Caryn Cowin, South Pasadena, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-William Albin, grass valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gary Hennemuth, san francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ken Mowrey, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Thad x Binkley, Pleasanton, CA

-Heather Holliger, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jack Morrison, Costa Mesa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Laura Simpson, McKinleyville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jack Morrison, Costa Mesa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Frank P. Arce, San Diego, CA

-Norma Odell, Chico, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Prisca gloor, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John Chere III, El Cerrito, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Stephen M. Perlman, Greenview, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael W Evans, Los Angeles, CA

-Bette Byers, santa Maria, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Robert Hicks, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jim Yarbrough, Newbury Park, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Blase Hents, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gary Creel, Modesto, CA

-Victor Magana, Fresno, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jeannette Welling, Thousand Oaks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Andrew Lawrence, San Francisco, CA

I support two components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The FCC should increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. The FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast at least a weekly minimum of locally originated programming. With only so many licenses available — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for REAL local radio. Thank you.

—Diana Goodman, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marcia Berman, Berkeley, CA

-Alfred Maletsky, Sonora, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Chia Longtree, Valley Center, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lorna Farnum, Rossmoor, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-R Salido, LaHabra, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Luis Lozano, California, CA

-Greg Rosas, Castro Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ronald Severeid, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ruth Judkiins, Altadena, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ian Sutherland, Petaluma, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Paul Jarvis, Redwood City, CA

-Barbara Carolan, Bayside, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Harald Matej, Mill Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Roger Schnorenberg, Olivehurst, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joseph Razo, Camarillo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Virginia Fujioka, Oregon House, CA

-Joseph Paratore, Davis, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Maxine Williams-Gboizo, Santa Monica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rev Curt Miner, San Luis Obispo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Deirdre Moore, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Christie Childs, Arcata, CA

-Pete Hart, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—(The Rev.) Allan B. Jones, Santa Rosa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Carol Patton, KENSINGTON, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Naomi Steinfeld, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Cindy Creswell, Vista, CA

-Scott Erickson, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Anthony Gilchriest, hollywood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rob Friedman, Laguna Hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John Gunn, Coronado, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Julie Smith, Los Osos, CA

-Donald Eidam, Fair Oaks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kathryn Riley, El Cajon, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jlt Williams, Huntington Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Frances Wesson, Santa Ana, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-William Grosh, El Centro, CA

-Sam Sloneker, Joshua Tree, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mr. Robert Stewart, Bolinas, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Richard Spicer, North Tusint, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ron Rattner, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Albert Moreno, Sacramento, CA

-Larry Smith, Signal Hill, CA

Our LPFM is already operating over the internet. We are ready for airtime and our attorney has papers ready. We support two important parts of your NPRM on Low Power FM (LPFM) service. Please: 1) allow waivers on the second adjacent channel, and 2) require local programming each week. Thank you. Brian and Kathleen

-Brian Schumacher, ALAMEDA, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-john Thies, san rafael, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Camille Gilbert, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Craig Tyhurst, Ripon, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John Joseph Fortier, Redondo Beach, CA

-Liz Helenchild, mendocino, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Brian Campos, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jennifer Sellers, Concord, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Mark-Alan Dellavecchia, Campbell, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Tracey Archer, West Sacramento, CA

-Carol O'Leary, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Will Yeager, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Roy Henock, Eureka, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John F Martinez, east los angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Mueller, concord, CA

—Judith Routledge, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Paul Burks, San Rafael, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marcie Ligammari, Paradise, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—James M. Orr, f, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jack Hollins, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-gayla Reiter, Benicia, CA

-mandy Bratt, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jules Davis, Baywood Park, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Carlos Castaneda, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gerardo Cornejo, Duarte, CA

-Maria Jackson, San Luis Obispo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Frances Taylor, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Wren Osborn, El Cajon, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Cass, Novato, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Alan Bosshardt, Hawthorne, CA

-Michael E. Bailey, Mission viejo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Muriel Coudurier-Curveur, santa barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—C Soraghan, san diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mr David Sherman, Santa Rosa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Riley Roach, Berkeley, CA

-Sondra Boes, Campbell, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Heidi McLean, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jennifer Thompson, Vallejo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert C. Lappo, Tujunga, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Nansi Weil, Sebastopol, CA

-Kathryn Gray, r, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Maria Rausis, Mountain View, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. The most important radio station in our very rural area is our non-profit local station. It tells us which roads are closed in our crazy winter weather. It tells us when schools are closed. It works with our rural area, and it is totally indispensible. Please keep our FM station going............ Thank you.

-Gayna Uransky, Garberville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Evans, Altadena, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lloyd Downs, Magalia, CA

—Alex MacCollom, Carmichael, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Marc Techner, Chula Vista, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Anne Veraldi, sf, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert Pann, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Eric Fleming, Studio City, CA

-Kym Orr, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—__, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Steve Trangsrud, LOS ANGELES, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sylvia De Baca, San Dimas, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Kast, Panorama City, CA

-Matthew Bogosian, Marina, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dennis Barrett, Sunnyvale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—D Kessler, Redway, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Richard Blakemore, Mariposa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-- Monica Padilla, La Mesa, CA

-Mick Robinson, Santa Maria, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rich Yurman, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joe Salazar, Santa Rosa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jeffrey Grady, Pacific Palisades, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mark Beckwith, Berkeley, CA

-Tom Riviere, Torrance, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sarah Friedman, downey, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-James McIntyre, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kathleen Sanders, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joseph A Magil, Cerritos, CA

-Twyla Meyer, Pomona, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Elke Raab, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John H Anderson, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Elizabeth Leone, Fresno, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Keith Roberts, Alameda, CA

-Kyle Chidester, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sherry Parker, Santa Monica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Edward F Styborski, Palm Springs, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Vincent J. Dee Jr., Encinitas, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Margot W Krystian PhD, santaLaneSanta Barbara, CA

-Margot Bialon, palo alto, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joyce Van Kolken, Oceanside, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Alan Richard Stanley, Anza, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ron Peterson, Carmichael, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rhonda Lawrence, Magalia, CA

-Raymond Macdougall, Orange, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-June Green, Belmont, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Woodrow White, Lancaster, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jary Stavely, Fort Bragg, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Eloise Marszalek, berkeley, CA

-V Bostock, Altadena, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Wayne Johnson, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Burjor Dastur, Petaluma, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert Prola, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joseph Szabo, Los Angeles, CA

-Melissa Atkinson, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—David Todd, Albany, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Susan Hartje, plymouth, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Olga Sevilla, Canoga Park, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-William C. Briggs Jr., Hermosa Beach, CA

—S Barnhart, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Rob Elia, Moraga, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-James Marshall, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nancy Hiestand, Davis, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Howard Ward, Simi Valley, CA

-William Cotter, Berry Creek, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Keith Garrett, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mark Edelstein, Rancho Palos Verdes, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Roberta LaFrance, San Leandro, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Pat McFarland, Point Arena, CA

—Pete Wilson, Richmond, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Olaf Anderson, Agoura Hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Beerly Conner, Carlsbad, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Eileen Mcgee, Eureka, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Douglas Shatterly Jr., menlo park, CA

-William Van Vechten, Santa Cruz, CA

I want to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. It should be noted that at times local radio stations have been the only media in their communities to issue emergency warnings. We need local radio. Thank you.

-Edwina White, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Glenn Lanum, Menlo Park, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Axel Meier, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mark Hein, Woodland Hills, CA

-T Fernandez, Burbank, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rick Ohren, Richmond, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lama Lane, Santa Ana, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dan Heffernan, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rodney A Hill, Auburn, CA

—Isaac Wollman, SAn Luis Obispo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Lily Adams, Santa rosa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-William Mitchell, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert Bean, berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Shiva Scanlon, Riverside, CA

-L Carr, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John Cole, Hollister, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Tiffany H. Young, Rowland Heights, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kevin Kingma, El Cerrito, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Andrew Estrin, Oakland, CA

-Fritz Hudnut, LA, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Robyn Miles, san francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Fred Albach, Burbank, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kathleen Lanctot, Scotts Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ren Navez, Venice, CA

-Ramon Sender, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. The diversity of Ideas has decreased with the increase in large media companies taking over local stations. This must stop now as local concerns are not being addressed or discussed on these big, corporate stations. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David tholfsen, San Leandro, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John Miller, Laguna Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-D'Ann Paton, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Don Johnston, Davis, CA

-Eric Steffen, Richmond, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marie Herring, Simi Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Karen Rogers, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Meyer Scharlack, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brian Wilcox, Oakland, CA

-Marvin Joan Silver and Silver, Burlngame, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sue Addison, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Carol Sawyers, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Carlos J. Echevarria, El Segundo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. I currently dj at a local station out in Point Reyes Station (KWMR) in west Marin county in the San Francisco bay area. Not only do we have a variety of locally produced music and talk/interview shows, but we broadcast emergency information to the listeners during power outages and road closures due to storms or from car accidents. We are located about 45 minutes from the biggest city and the major radio and TV stations don't usually broadcast road closures and power outages. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Living in the San Francisco bay area, we have many community and college radio stations that offer a variety of music and talk/interview programs. When traveling through the United States, I like to check out the different stations in that area. Except for the small college or community stations (which there are few compared to what we have here), all the major stations offer pretty much the same programming as the ones here in the Bay Area. We need more diversity in radio across the U.S. to represent the differences in every community in this country, rather than just having 8 or 9 music formats and 2 political viewpoint formats. Thank you.

—Edward Freitas, Fairfax, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jennifer Whiteside, Eureka, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John Douglas, Goleta, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Scott Grinthal, San Mateo, CA

-Maryellen Redish, Palm Springs, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

— It is crucial that no city of town miss out on this opportunity for fear i

-Michael Allen, South San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Barry Kaufman, Burbank, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Joe Loree, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mary Trujillo, Alhambra, CA

-James Mundy, Inglewood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lesli Powers, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mark Salamon, SAN MATEO, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Allowing more radio stations to compete locally can only strengthen the news and public affairs programming and compete with the for-profit commercially owned limited broadcast media which now dictates what is heard on radio across the U.S. Thank you.

-Will Mattsson, Ukiah, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dagny SanMiguel, Chula Vista, CA

-Derek Sinutko, Thousand Oaks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Max Campbell, Carpinteria, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dial Hoang, Garden Grove, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Denise T. Dardarian, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bradleigh H Horton, Glendale, CA

—Dennis Trembly, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—B Hurley, Sausalto, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—A Tsang, Davis, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marjorie Moss, Del Mar, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mary Tullock, Rohnert Park, CA

-Nicole Green, Cupertino, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Kirsten Barquist, Rohnert Park, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dorri Raskin, Northridge, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rick Sparks, Toluca Lake, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Sherry Vatter, Los Angeles, CA

—Bruce Hepler, Redondo Beach, CA

I support two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Richard Dawson, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Gaile Carr, mtshasta, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lake McManus, Woodland Hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Marie T. Martinez, Campbell, CA

-Ryan Barsotti, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Maureen McGee, Pacific Palisades, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—J Wernet, chico, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jennifer Willis, SAN FRANCISCO, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rebecca Cadman, Santa Cruz, CA

I support two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Vernon Faulkner, Torrance, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Karen Ambrose, la selva beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Ohrt, La Habra, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Susan Ohrt, Sonora, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—L Parrish, Carmel, CA

-Myra-Ann Pickens, Mountain View, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Ben Richey, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joe Gallagher, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Anne Barker, San Rafael, CA

Community radio is essential. I strongly support two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Charlene Woodcock, Berkeley, CA

-Woodrow White, LANCASTER, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Mitchell Diamond, Sunnyvale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Debora Winn, Laguna Hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lotti Knowles, Valley Glen, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Failea Bocanegra, Whittier, CA

-Joanie Laine, DESERT HOT SPRINGS, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Mark Giordani, Van Nuys, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—David MacMurray, Tujunga, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Patrick Russell, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-mara Noelle, Colfax, CA

—Josh Maresca, Santa Rosa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Margaret Spak, menlo Park, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jorge De Cecco, Ukiah, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John Mancasola, Redding, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kit Clements, Eureka, CA

-Tony Bolotsky, N.Hollywood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Stanley Seeman, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Toobert, GRASS VALLEY, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Matt Bachelder, Kenwood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert Hondrick, Sacramento, CA

—James Deen, Redlands, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you. r.johnson granada hls, ca.

-R Johnson, granada hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jon Spitz, Laytonville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Margaret Koster, Willits, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Bill Leikam, Palo Alto, CA

—Ian Armstrong, ventura, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—T Cass, Clovis, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mary Lou Finley, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kris Ihli, Mount Shasta, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Chris Caldwell, Anderson, CA

-Shirley Katz, Redwood City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Sanders, Glendora, CA

I am writing to strongly express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Another aspect of this increase in local radio is that it will help create more jobs and community spirit. This does not happen when Corporations control local radio stations. Local stations are key to lasting changes in the people who live in an area. Thank you for your consideration of my comments.

-DC Dr. John D. Stickle, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mike Hobbs, hanford, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Theresa Ruscitti, Clayton, CA

-Pete Barker, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Paul, Turlock, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Matthew Alexander, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Amelia Labbe, Mariposa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jan Dutra-Blackledge, Petaluma, CA

—Gay Chung, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Peter Robbins, Los Angeles, CA

Free speech is vital to our democracy. Local radio provides an excellent venue for people in our communities to exercise their right to free speech. I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Daniel Kendrick, Pleasanton, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Allan Campbell, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Williams, Burbank, CA

—Jon Nelson, Penn Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Conrad Discont, Fresno, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dean Cornell, pleasant hill, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Eliot Kleinberg, Glendale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rev J. Patrick Kelly, Sacramento, CA

-Ann Rarden, San Mateo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Adam Phillips, Beverly Hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Louis Fox, san geronimo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Donald L. Burg, Pomona, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. The FCC should also require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lynda Winslow, Berkeley, CA

—Carolyn De Mirjian, Valley Glen, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joanna Fancy, Santa Monica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brian Newhard, Huntington Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mia D, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Alice Kelly, Felton, CA

-full name*PETRUS ENOCH TOWNSEND, SAN LORENZO, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-MaryHelen Horeftis, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Randall Hartman, San Clemente, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Betty Winholtz, morro bay, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gabriel Almazan, La Verne, CA

-Jane Kelsberg, ANTIOCH, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Barbara McNeill, Daly City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-R Marks, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—J C Bettencourt, Aromas, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Janet Jamerson, San Leandro, CA

-Thomas Pickens, Danville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kandeda Trefil, Albion, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bruce Brown, Anaheim, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John Trefil MD, Albion, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jim Bearden, Milpitas, CA

-Stephen Mayes, Oceanside, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Tom Nulty Jr, Dana Point, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Diane Krell-Bates, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Alicia Evilsizer, Vista, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joy schary, Sherman Oaks, CA

—Lorinda L Hill, Laguna Hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brett Dalton, Paso Robles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Wil King, Orangevale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bobbi-Lee Smart, Anaheim, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ray Anderson, Reedley, CA

-David Calandra, venice, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jan Rubens, Palo Alto, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lynnette Peters, Sonoma, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-James Lewin, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—j carlos Acevedo, Perris, CA

-Lisa Canning, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lesley Hudak, Orinda, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Michael Music, Anza, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jessica Towns, Inglewood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Lindsey Shere, Healdsburg, CA

-Garrett Glendinning, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Daniel Zelter, L.A., CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sunny Thomas, Cotati, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Pat Cornell, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marvin C Gentz, Ukiah, CA

—James M DeLuca, Sun Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-TL Sutton, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Ann O'Keefe, Canyon, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Karen Fishkin, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Carol Ladewig, Emeryville, CA

—Ira & Ellie, Beverly Hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kevin Nguyen, Riverside, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dale Le Fevre, Mendocino, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jonathan Wieder, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jack Phillips, Placerville, CA

-Blair Sandler, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Deborah Cox, LA, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Clayton Barbeau, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Murray Levine, Richmond, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nicholas Karavatos, San Clemente, CA

-Maria Bustillos, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Willa O'Connor, Kensington, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Tom Farekian, Torrance, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jeff Ball, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nick Urban, Berkeley, CA

-Kyle Petlock, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Albert Sturges, Palm Desert, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rich Kontas, Burbank, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Amanda Gallardo, Azusa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ms. Deborah Dunn Yeager, Santa Barbara, CA

-Patrick Craig, Monte Rio, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Carol Wild, oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dallas Hodgson, cupertino, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rev Gregory Yaroslow, Redlands, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Renee Potik, Fresno, CA

—Joe and Mary, ventura, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ms. merylyn S. Whited, Coarsegold, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. We should listen to as many different opinions as possible to help us make up our minds on current issues. Please let as many new stations go on the air as is possible. Thanking you in advance. —Katherine A Smith, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Tom Sherer, Palm Desert, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jon Jenkins, Encinitas, CA

—Betsy Dungan, Placerville, CA

I live in a widespread rural area. We don't even have emergency broadcasting for road closures, or avalanches. We have zero radio coverage and have to depend on neighboring Tuolumne or Amador County. We need to have radio that covers US because National doesn't even know we exist unless we have something sensational. Then they all come. Thank you.

-Mary Matzek, Murphys, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-William Zschaler, san jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Daniel Schwartz, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels

— it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marcel Henaff, Encinitas, CA

-Mark Adornetto, Elk Grove, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Gail McMullen, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marie-Elena Mello, claremont, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Renee Lagloire, Tujunga, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jon Bryant, Long Beach, CA

-Gail Knowles, San Rafael, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. WE WAKE UP TO OUR LOCAL NPR STATION, WITH LOCAL TIES TO OUR COMMUNITY. PLEASE SUPPORT EXPANDING OUR CHOICES WITH ADDITIONAL LOW POWER FM RADIO STATIONS ALLOWED TO BROADCAST LOCAL INTERESTS, NOT JUST THOSE FORCED UPON US BY TRANSNATIONAL CORPORATE ENTITIES In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David and Susan Link, Elk Grove, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—William Doherty, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sebastian Maselli, Oceanside, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Carlos A Ramirez, Inglewood, CA

-Peter Watson, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Steve Olson, Aptos, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robyn Farmer, MONTEREY, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—James Roberts, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Carol Bennett, Glendale, CA

—Jean Giedt, Mariposa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Mary Leah Plante, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Margaret Austin, Nevada City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Judy Curry, Lake Forest, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Molly Bichan, Rio Vista, CA

-Elise Engelhardt, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Robert Perkins, NEWARK, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Virginia Charles, union city, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Charles Saucer, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Anne Delaeoa, San Mateo, CA

-Patsy Lowe, Palm Springs, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—E S, Rancho, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jerry Goggiel, Vacaville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Quentin Correll, Sunnyvale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—David Saperia, Santa Monica, CA

-Cheryl Lewis, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Teri Yamada, Rancho Palos Verdes, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kimberle Lynch, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nuri B, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Carol Banever, los angeles, CA

-Carlos Acuna, El Centro, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Gary West, San Luis Obispo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John Douglas Dyment, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bert Greenberg, san jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Virginia Ewing, Fairfield, CA

-Leba Morimoto, El Cerrito, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Catherine Dishion, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Hubert Carter, Palmdale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mike Kane, Hollywood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jacques Couture, Lafavette, CA

-Jacob Cherub, laguna Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Donna Cannon, Redwood Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Manuel Nestle, Santa Rosa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-James Sams, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Steve Cross, Redlands, CA

—Ellen Bryant, Eureka, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—James Grant Newell, Temecula, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Janice Foss, Pinole, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Chris Bryan, Salinas, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lawence Abbott, San Leandro, CA

-Karl Brown, Petaluma, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ben Burford, Dublin, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Judith Pantoja, Lake Elsinore, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Floyd L Merrell, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-J Diamond, Marina del Rey, CA

-Mr. Barry Nichols, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Richard DiMatteo, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ron Weinberg, Burbank, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brett Wagner, Clovis, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Floyd Merrell, Los Angeles, CA

-Lisa Gherardi, Los Gatos, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Manuel Mora, McCloud, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Richard Wilson, Carlsbad, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mandy Spitzer, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Irma George, La Mesa, CA

-Ed Jarvis, Millbrae, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Terrance Herlth, Fair Oaks, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kerry Beane, Davis, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dave Dick, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Andy Trimlett, San Diego, CA

—Tina Davis, Mill Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Richard Saxe, Aromas, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Frances Howard, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. We've seen way too many mega-corporations controlling our airwaves, controlling programming across the nation. On the television side, it is horrendous. To allow the same sort of thing, treating the nation as an homogenous entity, does a great disservice to our widely diverse America. We need to enable and empower local radio. Thank you.

-Tom Newman, Torrance, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bernice Glenn, Santa Monica, CA

-Richard Weiss, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rashid Patch, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Matthew Stavis, Select one, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-James Arnold, Penngrove, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—David Lougy, San Diego, CA

-Paul Penardi, Big Bear Lake, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Carol Norris, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Alicia Duque, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jacqueline Carter, SACRAMENTO, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—William Nuttle, Los Angeles, CA

-Sharon DeMuth, Glendale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ms. Kathleen Hernandez, Topanga, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michelle M Miranda, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Cristina Williams, Venice, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Roscoe Vanhorne, Ben Lomond, CA

-EH Estes, Mountain View, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Randy Wilson, Petaluma, CA

I agree with the contents of this letter. Commercial broadcasting is garbage, and NPR is quickly becoming dismal. The market needs more competition, and the public's need to know must be fulfilled! I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

--Payam Minoofar, Ventura, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Chris Bolton, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Melinda McMurray, SAN FRANCISCO, CA

-Kay Allen, Nevada City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brendon Evans, Goleta, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Greg Dyal, Mountain View, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Vafa Riahy, La Jolla, CA

I write to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marc Maloney, Citrus Heights, CA

-Neena Sessa, South San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—T Holmes, Somerset, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Candace Gesner, Los Angeles, CA

I support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Elisabeth Thomas-Matej, Mill Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Susan Roberts-Emery, Nevada City, CA

—JJ Johnson, Rodeo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Misty Day, Fullerton, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Amos Hobby, Turlock, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kathy Burckhardt, Huntington Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Gert Thorn, richmond, CA

-Aaron St. John, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Shaela Cook, Los Angeles, CA

We have blank spots all over our FM dial, even in the Silicon Valley. This represents wasted resources. Some would say we need blank spaces between stations to assure non-interference. I'm a radio engineer. I can say authoritatively that's not true. The dial was designed to be full and interference free. Please establish a populated dial, as intended by the original engineers of the system. To do less is to perpetuate disservice. Thank you.

-Jeff Reagan, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John Vivian, chatsworth, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels

— it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Steve Bloom, San Francisco, CA

-Henry N Hulter, san rafael, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Esequiel Vargas, port hueneme, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Favello, Walnut Creek, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael D. Mauer, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Shirle Gottlieb, Long Beach, CA

-John Atkinson, Los Altos, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michelle Davis, santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mary Lou Meeks, Palo Alto, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Richard Perper, santa cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Mc Ginnis, Shadow Hills, CA

-Rachel Edwards, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ron Glas, Concord, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michelle Bueltel, Manhattan Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Skolnick, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Timothy Chapman, Sacramento, CA

-Shiela Cockshott, Belmont, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your Notice of Proposed Rulemaking regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community—and with many more applicants than available channels—it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. Please do NOT add my name to your mailing list. I will learn about future developments on this issue from other sources.

-Christopher Lish, Olema, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sebastian Martin, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Katherine Harper, Playa del Rey, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Larry Vaccaro, Fair Oaks, CA

-Richard Hubacek, Little River, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Margaret Murray, Pinole, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dan Silver, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Joe Peach, clarksburg, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—James Grau, Santa Rosa, CA

-Tom Roberts, fresno, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Christopher deLiz, Oxnard, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—laura Presley, riverside, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Heather Henderson, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-HR Laser, Torrance, CA

-Melissa Runnels, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Constance Parng, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—George Grover, Sunnyvale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robin Anderson, Martinez, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Virginia Gainer, San Diego, CA

-Matthew Blocksom, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Becky Geiser, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Chris Mizeur, castella, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mike Andrewjeski, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require all broadcast stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. In addition, these new LPFM should be allowed to be commercial or non-commercial and should not be required only to be non-commercial. Thank you.

-Gerry Cunningham, Murrieta, CA

-Fredia Avalos, vista, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Montel Vanderhorck, eureka, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Chaitanya Diwadkar, Hayward, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Justin Kleiner, Santa Ana, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dr. RV Burton, Venice, CA

-Lynn Marcus, monyerey, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Susan ODonnell, Novaro, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Reginald Rucoba, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Alan Morgenstern, Northridge, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mrs. Valerie Hall, McKinleyville, CA

-Margaret Harrell, Hermosa Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Corry Dastur, Petaluma, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brenda Woolley, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Paul Eusey, Elk Grove, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jill Davine, Culver City, CA

—Christopher Boscardin, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Adam Kaplan, llaguna beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Susan Schneider, Stockton, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Carol Anne Ogdin, Placerville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Allan Hogle, Gualala, CA

-Pete Keay, Pleasant Hill, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Eileen Heaser, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Debra Knight, Davis, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Greene, Palo Alto, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Vada Pinto, San Leandro, CA

—Sally Sommer, berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gordon Armstrong, Beverly Hills, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Vita Landear, Scotts Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John McMahan, Ojai, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Georgia Jones, Sonora, CA

-John Morgan, Redwood City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Howard Moore, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Julie Rivera, Huntington Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Anthony Mauceri, sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Thomas Sweet, Sherman Oaks, CA

—lou Zad, san francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Please ensure that rules governing LPFM are formulated to serve the interests of local communities. Thank you.

-Katherine Showalter, Cupertino, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sheldon Greaves, San jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Uriah Jacquez, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Beverly Magid, Sherman Oaks, CA

—Julie Spickler, Menlo Park, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—BaZerklY TEIXEIRA, Fremont, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ruth Clifford, Sunnyvale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Linda Weiner, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Gerasimos Christoforatos, santa cruz, CA

-Jon Grutman, los angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Lowell Moorcroft, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert Mammon, Richmond, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Charles Warner, Fontana, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jim Bell, San Diego, CA

-Margaret Pearlman, Laguna Woods, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Tracy Schroth, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ryan Bollier, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Randall Daugherty, Orange, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Russell Simon, LANCASTER, CA

—Philip Humphreys, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jack Hubbs, Benicia, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert Rubel, Mira Loma, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jill Blaisdell, La Canada, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Hollie Blake, Los Angeles, CA

-Stacy Hall, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Pamela Idol, Rocklin, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Karen Wiesner, Santa Rosa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-John Kiene, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Christine Spring, S.F., CA

-Liz Walker, Mill Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Karen Wehrman, Castro Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Francoise Rothstein, Mill Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—James Wadsworth, santa monica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Carl Friberg, Berkeley, CA

-P Morgan, Felton, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Julie Colombero, Aliso Viejo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Beatrice Tocher, San Rafael, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Barbara Epstein and Family, Rolling Hills Estates, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Julie Keitges, Berkeley, CA

-David Walker, Santa Maria, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Victor Schiffrin, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jack Coombes, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—S S, Los Angeles, CA

I wish to express my support for two important components of the proposed NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. First, in many communities the demand for local radio stations exceeds the number of available frequencies. Therefore the Federal Communications Commission should endeavor to increase the number of LPFMs across the country. [For example, allowing all stations which will not generate interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double.] Secondly, the FCC should insist new LPFM stations broadcast a reasonable, minimum amount of locally originated programming. This would serve to enrich, inform, and give voice to manifold the diverse local communities extant in our great nation. Thank you for your time and consideration. AM

-Arnold Martelli, Burlingame, CA

—James Goodwin, Hollywood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Todd Gage, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gertrude Monsour, Claremont, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dan/Paula Fogarty, Santa Rosa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Paul Lee, Los Angeles, CA

—Douglas Schorling, Visalia, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bill Kane, Petaluma, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Denise Varner, Pleasant Hill, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Carol Gordon, los angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sandra Schachter, Caemal Valley, CA

--janet Johns, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Roger Smith, Loomis, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Barry Brukoff, Sausalito, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jim Petkiewicz, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Margaret T.M. Petkiewicz, San Jose, CA

-Arthur Alenik, Capo. Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Morris Berman, Los Angeles,, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gregg Roebuck, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Betty Shuss, Glendale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Leonard Wines, Los Angeles, CA

-Dimitre Gueorguiev, San Bernardino, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Connie Thomas, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Stephanie Proctor, Van Nuys, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Palmer, TUSTIN, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Rosemary Graham-Gardner, Manhattan Beach, CA

-Richard Drapeir, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Kenneth Bellingham, Concord, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jerry Peavy, Chico, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nick Bergin, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Lane, Vallejo, CA

-Rene Klaassen, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Malia Harper, Santa Monica, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Katie Pitts, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Elizabeth Fleming, Oakhurst, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Penelope Sallberg, Pasadena, CA

-Monica Mais, Mount Shasta, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Betsy Blondin, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Richard Selleseth, Lodi, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Shelley Brady, Playa del Rey, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Theodora B. Crawford, Berkeley, CA

-Mitch Mellman, Palm Springs, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brian Wiles, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Tamar Diana Wilson, La Jolla, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-James K. Dotson, Half Moon Bay, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marianna DeCroes, Sebastopol, CA

—Philip Johnston, Scotts Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lindsay Katai, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Gary Butterworth, Moorpark, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Finian Scott, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Carol Changus, La Jolla, CA

-Leonard Thomas, Antelope, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Chris Moody, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Matthew King, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Charles W Mitchell Jr, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gordena Doering, Modesto, CA

-Grace W Tiessen, Pasadena, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sheila Ganz, san francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ron Avila, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Erika Nanes, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Walter Carlin, Del Mar, CA

-Julia Macpete, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Mr William Ewald, Auburn, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lee Conklin, Columbia, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Richard Schwager, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ron Schmidt, San Francisco, CA

-Alvin Brightbill, Laguna Niguel, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kathleen Sullivan, Pacific Grove, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Douglas Einer, Escondido, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Brian Youens, Murrieta, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Elizabeth Jackson, Elk Grove, CA

-John Sanders, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-William Gazecki, Venice, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Theda Zaretsky, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Zak Hap, Manhattan Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Edward Humphreys, Encino, CA

-Beatriz St. John, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Sharon Swainson, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Leonard Tremmel, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Erin Rowe, Arcata, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. We are fortunate in the San Francisco bay area, but it is crucial that other parts of the country have real, local live broadcasts instead of canned programming. Thank you.

—Tom Glass, berkeley, CA

-Myrna Britton, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—cathryn Christy, Kenwood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lin Shearer, Belmont, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Walter W. Murrell, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Scott Scott, Palm Springs, CA

-George Shimeall, N.Hollywood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Debra Brackett, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Valerie Guinan, Cupertino, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ken Walsh, Alameda, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Emma Stark, Los Angeles, CA

-Frank Hoppe, La Crescenta, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—William Mills, Guerneville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bruce Mitchell, Van Nuys, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-B Lerner, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Kyriakos Spentzos, Santa Rosa, CA

-Michael Hansen, Eureka, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—John Roshell, santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Vince Glass, South San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Juliana-Marie Moss, Canyon Country, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Maureen Walsh, Redondo Beach, CA

-Nancy Devine, Palo Alto, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mr. George Beiler, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gene Rothman, Culver City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Merilie Robertson, Canoga Park, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. The FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast locally originated programming each week. This should not be some "minimum amount" but should be, rather, a main basis for the FCC's licensing of LPFM stations: The more live, locally produced programming that a station can promise, the better the chances of getting a license should be. Prerecorderd programming, both video and audio, is abundently available to anyone who has cable television, even those who only get the bare minimum cable package. Local, live programming should be the focus of new LPFM stations, and the FCC can make that happen. Thank you.

—Tim Z Falconer, Alameda, CA

-Cecil Davis, Santa Rosa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Mike P. Margulies, city, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-kevin M Ingraham, vallejo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ed Medina, CLAREMONT, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ken Bruckmeier, oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kathleen Morgan, San Jose, CA

-Sumitra Bradach, Palo Alto, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jean Beek, Newport Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Charles Kratz, El Cerrito, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Nancy Skeen, Fresno, CA

-Scott Warwick, Monrovia, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jeffery Dorer, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Claire Joaquin, Pollock Pines, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Thiago Marques, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lauren Godla, Davis, CA

-Kathy Bush, Encinitas, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Charles Dorsey, Burbank, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Tracy Fairchild, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Roger and Betty Fox, Carlsbad, CA

I am writing to express my support for two aspects of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In our County of Humboldt, we have heavy storms that knock out power. In a recent long term blackout, only the local station was able to inform citizens of which areas were to be worked on next, so we could know how to stock freezers, have enough candles, when to go to friends' houses, etc. We live in a tsunami zone and a on the major subduction fault in the coastal northwest: emergency communication is key. Only local radio can fill that gap in a bind. I cannot emphasize how important this is to our security. We own the airwaves, and wish ours to be used in a way relevant to our issues, needs and entertainment. I'm tired of listening to "clearchannel" like programming. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. More than that, though, is the pressing need for local disc jockeys and announcers to be able to communicate with the public in an emergency. I urge you to place this priority above others. Thank you.

-Margaret Draper, bayside, CA

—Pat Turney, Hayward, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Beverly Jennings, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Roger Underhill, san francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Cliffton Williams, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—James E Vann, Oakland, CA

-Martha Acosta, Richmond, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—t DAVID BINNS, CASTAIC, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Signor, Anaheim, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dr. Thomas Dixon, Goleta, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—suzanne Selby, Los Angeles, CA

-Barbara Wishingrad, Santa Barbara, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Corwin Zechar, Albany, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Chris Candelaria, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Marc Larby, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dolores Amato, Fresno, CA

-Stacey Rohrbaugh, Willits, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Joan and Wallace MacDonald, Mountain View, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ronald Partridge, Simi Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert Bruno, Hollister, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-E Nancy Ward, Fortuna, CA

-Rose Bruno, Hollister, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Eliot Kenin, Emeryville, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Michael Mapp, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sergio Flores, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Scott Hart, 95949, CA

—Stanley Poss, Fresno, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mary Blom, Sebastopol, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Terry Rolleri, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bill Manser, hanford, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—James House, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Lynne St.John, Santee, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Joanna Katz, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Scott Chapman, San Luis Obispo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Tripp Badger, San Francisco, CA

-Dr. Joan Rodman, LA, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Sherwin Chew, Oakland, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Armando Garcia, Fresno, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ece Algan, Claremont, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kurt Bigler, Berkeley, CA

-Vickie Rozell, Redwood City, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Garrett James Wysocki, Oceanside, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Anthony Edwards, Del Mar, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Robert Pavel, Richmond, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dennis Upton, Palo Alto, CA

-Marvin Sawyer, Yucca Valley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Gary Ammirati, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jessica Lam, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ted Fishman, San Jose, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dr. Michael C. Richard B. Ford and Marks, Watsonville, CA

-Francisco Hulse, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Linda Schreiber, Lakeport, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Toney Blanks, San Diego, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jean Stapleton, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Benita Smith, Berkeley, CA

-Norma Lundgren, Turlock, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Juliana R. Anders, Oceanside, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Anna Gordanier, Marina, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Letitia Grimes, Ojai, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mary Ann Gordon, CA, CA

—Jim Neptune, Clovis, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—1846 Limetree Ln, Mountain View, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Stephen Orsary, Corte Madera, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Tim Young, Canoga Park, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Andrew Yakas, San Francisco, CA

—Dennis E. O'Connor, Escondido, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Keith Posner, S.F., CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Joan Peterson, San Rafael, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Irv Snyder, Laguna Woods, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Scott Thill, Los Angeles, CA

-Coria Ana, LONG BEACH, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Coria Ana, LONG BEACH, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Francesca Aubrey, Kensington, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In today's world, information is power and all too often news is controlled by political agendas. What makes America strong is the accessibility of information, particularly local information. It insures diversity and information on local issues. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kristin Masri, San Rafael, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Donald Dodge, San Francisco, CA

-Richard Nelesen, La Mes, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Ray Wood, Vallejo, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Julie Sanford, Van Nuys, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Dennis Tracy, Tehachapi, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Melissa Bryan, Half Moon Bay, CA

-Eric Sundal, Burbank, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jose Miranda, Long Beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kenyon Donohew, Oceanside, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kenneth Elmes, Riverside, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Harrod, Riverside, CA

-Polly O'Malley, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Michael Aaron Karsh, Martinez, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Chris Cortese, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-DC William Mariner, Mount Shasta, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Jean McKee, Los Gatos, CA

-Frank R Scott, Santa Ana, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. Fostering creativity by having more opportunities for diverse educational and informational stations will help keep America competitive economically In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Scott Tracy, huntington beach, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Karen Emrey, Santa Rosa, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—D P, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—J Maag, san juan cap., CA

-Connie Rogers, Gilroy, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-H B, Ventura, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Bruce Jenkins, Sunnyvale, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Paul Sanchez, Sacramento, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Kristin Hurley, Poway, CA

-James Mahan, west Hollywood, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Michael Goldberg, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Martha Goldin, Los Osos, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Jack Pratt, Gerber, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Bob Nusbaum, Los Gatos, CA

-Bruce Burns, Santa Cruz, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Mollie Pier, Sherman Oakss, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-David Gallardo, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Edwina Smith, San Francisco, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—T A, carmichael, CA

-Michael Hanrath-Clark, Los Angeles, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Arlene Merryman, Berkeley, CA

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

-Dial Hoang, Garden Grove, CA